arXiv:1610.09489v1 [math.CO] 29 Oct 2016

On the existence of d-homogeneous 3-way Steiner trades

H. Amjadi and N. Soltankhah *

Faculty of Mathematical Sciences
Alzahra University
Vanak Square 19834, Tehran, Iran

Abstract

A μ-way (v,k,t) trade $T=\{T_1,T_2,...,T_\mu\}$ of volume m consists of μ disjoint collections $T_1,T_2,...,T_\mu$, each of m blocks of size k, such that for every t-subset of v-set V the number of blocks containing this t-subset is the same in each T_i (for $1\leq i\leq\mu$). A μ-way (v,k,t) trade is called μ-way (v,k,t) Steiner trade if any t-subset of found(T) occurs at most once in T_1 ($T_j,\ j\geq 2$). A μ-way (v,k,t) trade is called d-homogeneous if each element of V occurs in precisely d blocks of T_1 ($T_j,\ j\geq 2$). In this paper we characterize the 3-way 3-homogeneous $(v,3,2)$ Steiner trades of volume v. Also we show how to construct a 3-way d-homogeneous $(v,3,2)$ Steiner trade for $d\in\{4,5,6\}$, except for seven small values of v.

Key words: Steiner trade, μ-way trade, Homogeneous trade.

Subject classification: 05B05.

1 Introduction and preliminary results

Let V be a set of v elements and k and t be two positive integers such that $t<k<v$. A (v,k,t) trade $T=\{T_1,T_2\}$ of volume m consists of two disjoint collections T_1 and T_2, each of containing m, k-subsets of V, called blocks, such that every t-subset of V is contained in the same number of blocks in T_1 and T_2. A (v,k,t) trade is called (v,k,t) Steiner trade if any t-subset of V occurs in at most once in $T_1(T_2)$. In a (v,k,t) trade, both collections of blocks must cover the same set of elements. This set of elements is called the foundation of the trade and is denoted by found(T).

The concept of μ-way (v,k,t) trade, was defined recently in [6].

Definition: A μ-way (v,k,t) trade $T=\{T_1,T_2,...,T_\mu\}$ of volume m consists of μ disjoint collections $T_1,T_2,...,T_\mu$, each of m blocks of size k, such that for every t-subset of v-set V the number of blocks containing this t-subset is the same in each T_i (for $1\leq i\leq\mu$). In other words any pair of collections $\{T_i,T_j\}$, $1\leq i<j\leq\mu$ is a (v,k,t) trade of volume

Email addresses: h.amjadi@alzahra.ac.ir (H. Amjadi), soltan@alzahra.ac.ir (N. Soltankhah)
* *Corresponding author*

m. It is clear by the definition that a trade is a 2-way trade. A μ-way (v,k,t) trade is called μ-way (v,k,t) Steiner trade if any t-subset of found(T) occurs at most once in T_1 ($T_j,\ j \geq 2$). A μ-way (v,k,t) trade is called d-homogeneous if each element of V occurs in precisely d blocks of T_1 ($T_j,\ j \geq 2$). Let $x \in$ found(T), the number of blocks in T_i (for $1 \leq i \leq \mu$) which contains x is denoted by r_x. The set of blocks in T_i (for $1 \leq i \leq \mu$) which contains x is denoted by T_{ix} (for $1 \leq i \leq \mu$). It is easy to see that $T_x = \{T_{1x}, ..., T_{\mu x}\}$ is a μ-way $(v,k,t-1)$ trade of volume r_x. If we remove x from the blocks of T_x , then the result will be a μ-way $(v-1,k-1,t-1)$ trade which is called the derived trade of T. Also it is easy to show that if T is a Steiner trade then its derived trade is also a Steiner trade. A trade $T' = \{T'_1, T'_2, ..., T'_\mu\}$ is called a subtrade of $T = \{T_1, T_2, ..., T_\mu\}$, if $T'_i \subseteq T_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq \mu$.

For $\mu = 2$, Cavenagh et al. [4], constructed minimal d-homogeneous $(v,3,2)$ Steiner trades of foundation v and volume $dv/3$ for sufficiently large values of v, (specifically, $v > 3(1.75d^2+3)$ if v is divisible by 3 and $v > d(4^{d/3+1}+1)$ otherwise).

Generally we can ask the following question.

Question 1. *For given μ, d and v, does there exist a μ-way d-homogeneous $(v,3,2)$ Steiner trade?*

In this paper, we aim to construct 3-way d-homogeneous $(v,3,2)$ Steiner trades. The Latin trades are a useful tool for building these trades when $v \equiv 0 \pmod 3$, so we use some obtained results on 3-way d-homogeneous Latin trades.

A Latin square of order n is an $n \times n$ array $L = (\ell_{ij})$ usually on the set $N = \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ where each element of N appears exactly once in each row and exactly once in each column. We can represent each Latin square as a subset of $N \times N \times N$, $L = \{(i,j;k)|$ element k is located in position $(i,j)\}$. A partial Latin square of order n is an $n \times n$ array $P = (p_{ij})$ of elements from the set N where each element of N appears at most once in each row and at most once in each column. The set $S_P = \{(i,j)|\ (i,j;k) \in P\}$ of the partial Latin square P is called the shape of P and $|S_P|$ is called the volume of P.

A μ-way Latin trade, $(L_1, L_2, ..., L_\mu)$, of volume s is a collection of μ partial Latin squares $L_1, L_2, ..., L_\mu$ containing exactly the same s filled cells, such that if cell (i,j) is filled, it contains a different entry in each of the μ partial Latin squares, and such that row i in each of the μ partial Latin squares contains, set-wise, the same symbols and column j, likewise. Adams et al.[1] studied μ-way Latin trades. A μ-way Latin trade which is obtained from another one by deleting its empty rows and empty columns, is called a μ-way d-homogeneous Latin trade (for $\mu \leq d$) or briefly a (μ, d, m) Latin trade, if it has m rows and in each row and each column L_r for $1 \leq r \leq \mu$, contains exactly d elements, and each element appears in L_r exactly d times. Bagheri et al.[2], studied the μ-way d-homogeneous Latin trades and their main result is as follows:

Theorem A.[2] *All $(3,d,m)$ Latin trades (for $4 \leq d \leq m$) exist, for*

(a) *$d = 4$, except for $m = 6$ and 7 and possibly for $m = 11$,*

(b) *$d = 5$, except possibly for $m = 6$,*

(c) $6 \leq d \leq 13$,

(d) $d = 15$,

(e) $d \geq 4$ *and* $m \geq d^2$,

(f) m *a multiple of* 5, *except possibly for* $m = 30$,

(g) m *a multiple of* 7, *except possibly for* $m = 42$ *and* $(3, 4, 7)$ *Latin trade.*

All 3-way $(v, 3, 2)$ Steiner trades are characterized in [6]. The authors proved that there is no 3-way $(v, 3, 2)$ Steiner trade of volumes $1, 2, 3, 4, 5$ and 7. Also they showed that the 3-way $(v, 3, 2)$ Steiner trade of volume 6 is unique (where the number of occurrences of each element is not the same). So the following proposition is clear.

Proposition 1. *The* 3*-way* d*-homogeneous* $(v, 3, 2)$ *Steiner trade of volume* m *does not exist for* $m \in \{1, 2, ..., 7\}$.

Remark 1. Since the volume of a μ-way d-homogeneous $(v, 3, 2)$ Steiner trade is $dv/3$, at least one of d or v should be multiple of 3.

Remark 2. In a μ-way d-homogeneous $(v, 3, 2)$ Steiner trade, since every element should belong to d blocks and the other elements of these blocks should be different, so $v \geq 2d+1$.

Lemma 1. *If there exist two* 3*-way* d*-homogeneous* $(v_1, 3, 2)$ *and* $(v_2, 3, 2)$ *Steiner trades of volume* m_1 *and* m_2*, respectively, then we have a* 3*-way* d*-homogeneous* $(v_1 + v_2, 3, 2)$ *Steiner trade of volume* $m_1 + m_2$.

Proof. Let $T = \{T_1, T_2, T_3\}$ be a 3-way d-homogeneous $(v_1, 3, 2)$ Steiner trade of volume m_1 and $T' = \{T'_1, T'_2, T'_3\}$ be a 3-way d-homogeneous $(v_2, 3, 2)$ Steiner trade of volume m_2. It is enough to relabel the elements of found(T'), such that found$(T) \cap$ found$(T') = \emptyset$. It is clear that $S = \{T_1 \cup T'_1, T_2 \cup T'_2, T_3 \cup T'_3\}$ is a 3-way d-homogeneous $(v_1 + v_2, 3, 2)$ Steiner trade of volume $m_1 + m_2$. □

The following lemma which is similar to Lemma 2 of [4], shows how to construct a 3-way d-homogeneous $(3m, 3, 2)$ Steiner trade, by using 3-way d-homogeneous Latin trade of order m.

Lemma 2. *Let* (L_1, L_2, L_3) *be a* 3*-way* d*-homogeneous Latin trade of order* m*. For each* $\alpha \in \{1, 2, 3\}$*, define* $T_\alpha = \{\{i_1, j_2, k_3\} |\ (i, j; k) \in L_\alpha\}$*. Then* $T = \{T_1, T_2, T_3\}$ *is a* 3*-way* d*-homogeneous* $(3m, 3, 2)$ *Steiner trade.*

The following theorem is a consequence of Theorem A and Lemma 2.

Theorem 1. *All* 3*-way* d*-homogeneous* $(3m, 3, 2)$ *Steiner trades* (*for* $4 \leq d \leq m$) *exist for*

(a) $d = 4$, *except possibly for* $m = 6$, 7 *and* 11,

(b) $d = 5$, *except possibly for* $m = 6$,

(c) $6 \leq d \leq 13$,

(d) $d = 15$,

(e) $d \geq 4$ *and* $m \geq d^2$,

(f) $m \equiv 0$ (*mod* 5), *except possibly for* $m = 30$,

(g) $m \equiv 0$ (*mod* 7), *except possibly for* $m = 7$ (*where* $d = 4$) *and* $m = 42$.

The existence of 3-way d-homogeneous $(v, 3, 2)$ Steiner trades when v is not multiple of 3, will be investigated later.

A Steiner triple system of order v (briefly $STS(v)$) is a pair (X, B) where X is a v-set and B is a collection of 3-subsets of X (called triple) such that every pair of distinct elements of X belongs to exactly one triple of B. It is well known that a $STS(v)$ exists if and only if $v \equiv 1, 3 \pmod 6$. A Kirkman triple system of order v (briefly $KTS(v)$) is a Steiner triple system of order v, (X, B) together with a partition R of the set of triples B into subsets $R_1, R_2, ..., R_n$ called parallel classes such that each R_i (for $i = 1, 2, ..., n$) is a partition of X.

Theorem 2. *There exists a* 3*-way* $((v-1)/2)$*-homogeneous* $(v, 3, 2)$ *Steiner trade of volume* $v(v-1)/6$ *for every* $v \equiv 1, 3$ (*mod* 6), $v \neq 7$.

Proof. In [5], it is shown that there exist 3 disjoint $STS(v)$ for every $v \equiv 1, 3 \pmod 6$, $v \neq 7$. It is obvious that the 3-way trade $T = \{T_1, T_2, T_3\}$, where T_1, T_2 and T_3 are the disjoint $STS(v)$s, is the desired trade. □

2 3-way 3-homogeneous $(v, 3, 2)$ Steiner trades

In this section we answer Question 1 when $\mu = d = 3$. Note that by Remark 1 there is no restriction for v in this case.

Lemma 3. *For every* $v = 9\ell$, *where* $\ell \in \{1, 2, 3, \cdots\}$, *there exists a* 3*-way* 3*-homogeneous* $(v, 3, 2)$ *Steiner trade of volume* v.

Proof. According to Corollary 1 of [2], there exists a $(3, 3, m)$ Latin trade if and only if $3|m$. By Lemma 2 we can obtain a 3-way 3-homogeneous $(v, 3, 2)$ Steiner trade of volume v from a $(3, 3, m)$ Latin trade, where $v = 3m$. □

Lemma 4. *For every* $v = 8\ell$, *where* $\ell \in \{1, 2, 3, \cdots\}$, *there exists a* 3*-way* 3*-homogeneous* $(v, 3, 2)$ *Steiner trade of volume* v.

Proof. The following trade is a 3-way 3-homogeneous $(8, 3, 2)$ Steiner trade.

T_1	123	147	158	248	267	357	368	456
T_2	124	138	157	237	268	467	458	356
T_3	127	135	148	246	238	367	457	568

So the proof is obvious by Lemma 1. □

The last two lemmas and Lemma 1 yields the following theorem.

Theorem 3. *For every nonzero $v = 9\ell + 8\ell'$, where $\ell, \ell' \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, \cdots\}$, there exists a 3-way 3-homogeneous $(v, 3, 2)$ Steiner trade of volume v.*

The following lemma can be used for characterizing 3-way 3-homogeneous $(v, 3, 2)$ Steiner trades of volume v.

Lemma 5. *There exist only two non-isomorphic 3-way $(v, 2, 1)$ Steiner trade of volume 3.*

Proof. Let $T = (T_1, T_2, T_3)$ be a 3-way $(v, 2, 1)$ Steiner trade of volume 3. Since T is a Steiner trade, $|\text{found}(T)| = 6$ and let $\text{found}(T) = \{1, 2, ..., 6\}$. Without loss of generality we can assume that $T_1 = \{12, 34, 56\}$ and $T_2 = \{13, 26, 45\}$. Now if we consider the blocks of the trade as edges in as 6 vertex graph and color the edges of each trade with a different color, then the first two trades form an alternating colored 6-cycle. Up to isomorphism, there are only two different one factors in K_6 to complete this graph to a 3-regular graph. So all trademates put together either form a bipartite or a non-bipartite 3-regular graph. So $T = (T_1, T_2, T_3)$ up to isomorphism, should be as one of the following trades:

T_1	T_2	T_3
12	13	14
34	26	25
56	45	36

T_1	T_2	T_3
12	13	15
34	26	24
56	45	36

□

Theorem 4. *Every 3-way 3-homogeneous $(v, 3, 2)$ Steiner trade of volume v contains a 3-way 3-homogeneous $(u, 3, 2)$ Steiner trade of volume 8 or 9, as a subtrade.*

Proof. Let $T = \{T_1, T_2, T_3\}$ be a 3-way 3-homogeneous $(v, 3, 2)$ Steiner trade of volume v with $\text{found}(T) = \{1, 2, ..., v\}$. Let $x \in \text{found}(T)$, then $T_x = \{T_{1x}, T_{2x}, T_{3x}\}$ is a $(v, 3, 1)$ trade of volume $r_x = 3$. Without loss of generality we can assume that $\text{found}(T_x) = \{x, 1, 2, ..., 6\}$. According to Lemma 5 there exist only two cases for T_x.

T_{1x}	T_{2x}	T_{3x}
$x12$	$x13$	$x14$
$x34$	$x26$	$x25$
$x56$	$x45$	$x36$

T_{1x}	T_{2x}	T_{3x}
$x12$	$x13$	$x15$
$x34$	$x26$	$x24$
$x56$	$x45$	$x36$

Let T contains T_x which is as the first form.
Since $T = \{T_1, T_2, T_3\}$ is a 3-homogeneous trade, each of T_1, T_2 and T_3 should contain two other blocks containing element 1. According to definition of Steiner trades, T_1 cannot contain block 134 (since it has block $x34$). So there exist three possible cases:

1. Only one of T_2 and T_3 contains block 123 or 124:
   Let T_2 contains block 123 (If T_3 contains block 124, then the same result will be achieved).

T_1	$x12$	$x34$	$x56$	$13a$	$14b$
T_2	$x14$	$x25$	$x36$	123	$1ab$
T_3	$x13$	$x26$	$x45$	$12b$	$14a$

   There are four possible cases for elements a and b:

   1.1. $a, b \notin \{5, 6\}$

   The other blocks which contain 4 should be as follows. The pair $3b$ exists in T_3 and does not exist in T_2, it is a contradiction with definition of trade.

T_1	$x12$	$x34$	$x56$	$13a$	$14b$	$45a$	
T_2	$x14$	$x25$	$x36$	123	$1ab$	$45b$	$4a3$
T_3	$x13$	$x26$	$x45$	$12b$	$14a$	$43b$	

   1.2. $a = 6$ and $b = 5$

   The other blocks of T_1 and T_2 which contain 6 should be as follows. It is a contradiction with definition of trade.

T_1	$x12$	$x34$	$x56$	136	145	624
T_2	$x14$	$x25$	$x36$	123	156	624
T_3	$x13$	$x26$	$x45$	125	146	

   1.3. $a \notin \{5, 6\}$ and $b = 5$

   A 3-way 3-homogeneous $(8, 3, 2)$ is achieved.

T_1	$x12$	$x34$	$x56$	$13a$	145	$52a$	236	$46a$
T_2	$x14$	$x25$	$x36$	123	$15a$	546	$26a$	$34a$
T_3	$x13$	$x26$	$x45$	125	$14a$	$56a$	$23a$	364

   1.4. $a = 6$ and $b \notin \{5, 6\}$

   Only one of the remaining blocks of T_2 can contain 6, but we should have 62, 64, 65 is T_2 which is impossible.

T_1	$x12$	$x34$	$x56$	136	$14b$
T_2	$x14$	$x25$	$x36$	123	$16b$
T_3	$x13$	$x26$	$x45$	$12b$	146

2. T_2 and T_3 contain block 123 and 124, respectively:

   The other blocks of T_2 and T_3 which contain 1 should be as follows. It is a contradiction with definition of trade.

T_1	$x12$	$x34$	$x56$	$13a$	$14b$
T_2	$x14$	$x25$	$x36$	123	$1ab$
T_3	$x13$	$x26$	$x45$	124	$1ab$

3. T_2 and T_3 do not contain the blocks 123 and 124:

T_1	$x12$	$x34$	$x56$	$13a$	$14b$
T_2	$x14$	$x25$	$x36$	$13b$	$12a$
T_3	$x13$	$x26$	$x45$	$14a$	$12b$

There are four possible cases for elements a and b:

3.1. $a, b \notin \{5, 6\}$

A 3-way 3-homogeneous $(9, 3, 2)$ is achieved.

T_1	$x12$	$x34$	$x56$	$13a$	$14b$	$36b$	$45a$	$62a$	$52b$
T_2	$x14$	$x25$	$x36$	$13b$	$12a$	$34a$	$45b$	$65a$	$62b$
T_3	$x13$	$x26$	$x45$	$14a$	$12b$	$34b$	$3a6$	$65b$	$52a$

3.2. $a = 6$ and $b = 5$

The other blocks of T_1, T_2 and T_3 which contain 6 should be as follows. So the other blocks of T_2 and T_3 which contain 4 should be 423. It is a contradiction with definition of trade.

T_1	$x12$	$x34$	$x56$	136	145	624	
T_2	$x14$	$x25$	$x36$	135	126	645	423
T_3	$x13$	$x26$	$x45$	146	125	635	423

3.3. $a \notin \{5, 6\}$ and $b = 5$

The other blocks which contain 5 should be as follows. So the other blocks of T which contain 2 should be as follows. 36 appears two times in T_2. It is a contradiction with definition of Steiner trade.

T_1	$x12$	$x34$	$x56$	$13a$	145	523	$26a$
T_2	$x14$	$x25$	$x36$	135	$12a$	546	236
T_3	$x13$	$x26$	$x45$	$14a$	125	536	$23a$

3.4. $a = 6$ and $b \notin \{5, 6\}$

The other blocks which contain 6 should be as follows. There is a block in T_2 which contain 45. 4 appears three times in T_1 but the pair 45 does not appear in blocks of T_1. It is a contradiction with definition of trade.

T_1	$x12$	$x34$	$x56$	136	$14b$	624
T_2	$x14$	$x25$	$x36$	$13b$	126	645
T_3	$x13$	$x26$	$x45$	146	$12b$	635

For the other case, the same result can be obtained by a similar argument. So it can be deduced that if there exists a 3-way 3-homogeneous $(v, 3, 2)$ Steiner trade of volume v (for $v \geq 8$), then it contains a 3-way 3-homogeneous $(8, 3, 2)$ or $(9, 3, 2)$ Steiner trade of volume 8 or 9, respectively. □

The following corollary is the direct result of Theorem 4.

Corollary 1. *If there exists a* 3*-way* 3*-homogeneous* $(v, 3, 2)$ *Steiner trade of volume* v*, then it can be represented as a union of disjoint* 3*-way* 3*-homogeneous* $(8, 3, 2)$ *or* $(9, 3, 2)$ *Steiner trades of volume* 8 *or* 9*, respectively.*

Define $[a, b] = \{c \in Z |\ a \leq c \leq b\}$.

Theorem 5. *The* 3*-way* 3*-homogeneous* $(v, 3, 2)$ *Steiner trade of volume* v *does not exist for* $v \in [1, 7] \cup [10, 15] \cup [19, 23] \cup [28, 31] \cup [37, 39] \cup \{46, 47, 55\}$.

Proof. By Proposition 1, the 3-way 3-homogeneous $(v, 3, 2)$ Steiner trade of volume v does not exist for $v \in [1, 7]$. Let there exist a 3-way 3-homogeneous $(v, 3, 2)$ Steiner trade T of volume v for $v \in [10, 15]$. By Theorem 4, it should contain a 3-way 3-homogeneous $(8, 3, 2)$ or $(9, 3, 2)$ Steiner trade T' of volume 8 or 9, respectively. Therefore, $T \setminus T'$ is a 3-way 3-homogeneous $(u, 3, 2)$ Steiner trade of volume u, where $u \in [1, 7]$, which is impossible. By same argument, let there exist a 3-way 3-homogeneous $(v, 3, 2)$ Steiner trade T of volume v for $v \in [19, 23]$. By Theorem 4, it should contain a 3-way 3-homogeneous $(8, 3, 2)$ or $(9, 3, 2)$ Steiner trade T' of volume 8 or 9, respectively. So $T \setminus T'$ is a 3-way 3-homogeneous $(u, 3, 2)$ Steiner trade of volume u, where $u \in [10, 15]$, which is a contradiction. The same way, we can prove non-existence of the other mentioned trades. □

Theorem 6. *For every* $v \geq 8$*, there exists a* 3*-way* 3*-homogeneous* $(v, 3, 2)$ *Steiner trade of volume* v*, except for* $v \in [10, 15] \cup [19, 23] \cup [28, 31] \cup [37, 39] \cup \{46, 47, 55\}$.

Proof. According to Theorem 3, it is enough to represent every $v \geq 8$ in the form $9\ell + 8\ell'$, where $\ell, \ell' \geq 0$ as follows:
$v = 9k$, where $k \geq 1$
$v = 9k + 1 = 9(k - 7) + 64$, where $k - 7 \geq 0$
$v = 9k + 2 = 9(k - 6) + 56$, where $k - 6 \geq 0$
$v = 9k + 3 = 9(k - 5) + 48$, where $k - 5 \geq 0$
$v = 9k + 4 = 9(k - 4) + 40$, where $k - 4 \geq 0$
$v = 9k + 5 = 9(k - 3) + 32$, where $k - 3 \geq 0$
$v = 9k + 6 = 9(k - 2) + 24$, where $k - 2 \geq 0$
$v = 9k + 7 = 9(k - 1) + 16$, where $k - 1 \geq 0$
$v = 9k + 8$, where $k \geq 0$
Using Theorem 5 completes the proof. □

3 3-way d-homogeneous $(v, 3, 2)$ Steiner trade for $d \in \{4, 5, 6\}$

In this section we answer Question 1 when $\mu = 3$ and $d = 4, 5$ and 6.

3.1 $d = 4$

In this subsection we completely answer Question 1 for $d = 4$. Note that since $d = 4$ by Remark 1, v should be a multiple of 3.

Proposition 2. *There exist* 3*-way* 4*-homogeneous* $(9,3,2)$*,* $(18,3,2)$*,* $(21,3,2)$ *and* $(33,3,2)$ *Steiner trades of volume* 12*,* 24*,* 28 *and* 44*, respectively.*

Proof. According to Theorem 2, there exists a 3-way 4-homogeneous $(9,3,2)$ Steiner trade of volume 12. By Theorem 1, there exist 3-way 4-homogeneous $(12,3,2)$ and $(15,3,2)$ Steiner trades of volume 16 and 20, respectively. Since $18 = 9+9$, $21 = 12+9$ and $33 = 15+18$, regarding to Lemma 1, the result follows. □

Theorem 7. *There exists a* 3*-way* 4*-homogeneous* $(v,3,2)$ *Steiner trade if and only if* $v \geq 9$ *and* $v \equiv 0 \pmod 3$*.*

Proof. The result is followed by Theorem 1, Proposition 2 and Remarks 1 and 2. □

3.2 $d = 5$

In this subsection we solve Question 1 for $d = 5$, except for $v = 18$. By Remark 1, v should be a multiple of 3.

Proposition 3. *There exists a* 3*-way* 5*-homogeneous* $(12,3,2)$ *Steiner trade of volume* 20*.*

Proof. It is enough to use three disjoint decomposition of $K_{12} - I$ (the graph obtained from K_{12} by removing the edges of a perfect matching) into triples. In other words, in the literature of block designs, it is enough to consider three disjoint compatible $(2,3)$-packings on 12 points (see [3]). □

Theorem 8. *Except possibly for* $v = 18$*, there exists a* 3*-way* 5*-homogeneous* $(v,3,2)$ *Steiner trade if and only if* $v \geq 12$ *and* $v \equiv 0 \pmod 3$*.*

Proof. The result follows by Theorem 1, Proposition 3 and Remarks 1 and 2. □

3.3 $d = 6$

In this subsection we solve Question 1 for $d = 6$, only six values of v are left undecided. Note that by Remark 1 there is no restriction for v in this case.

Proposition 4. *There exist a* 3*-way* 6*-homogeneous* $(v,3,2)$ *Steiner trade of volume* $2v$*, where* $v = 13, 14, 15$ *and* 16*.*

Proof. By Theorem 2 there exist a 3-way 6-homogeneous $(13,3,2)$ Steiner trade of volume 26.

Let $X = \{1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,a,b,c,d,e,f\}$ and let (X,B) be a $KTS(15)$, where $B = \{123, 48c, 5ae, 6bd, 79f, 145, 28a, 3df, 69e, 7bc, 167, 29b, 3ce, 4af, 58d, 189, 2cf, 356, 4be, 7ad, 1ab, 2de, 347, 59c, 68f, 1cd, 246, 39a, 5bf, 78e, 1ef, 257, 38b, 49d, 6ac\}$

We define the following permutations on X:
$\pi_1 = (1\ e)(2\ 4)(3\ 7)(5\ 6)(8\ b)(a\ c)(9\ d)$
$\pi_2 = (9\ 7)(3\ d)(4\ a)(2\ c)(6\ 8)(5\ b)(1\ e)$
The intersection of three sets $B, \pi_1(B)$ and $\pi_2(B)$ is $C = \{79f, 3df, 4af, 2cf, 68f, 5bf, 1ef\}$. So $T = \{T_1, T_2, T_3\}$ is a 3-way 6-homogeneous $(14, 3, 2)$ Steiner trade of volume 28, where $T_1 = B \setminus C$, $T_2 = \pi_1(B) \setminus C$ and $T_3 = \pi_3(B) \setminus C$.

Let $X = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, a, b, c, d, e, f\}$ and let $(X, B_1 \cup B_2)$ be a $KTS(15)$, where $B_1 = \{12f, 345, 678, 9ab, cde\}$ and $B_2 = \{36f, 15e, 24a, 7bc, 89d, 9cf, 147, 2be, 38a, 56d, 7af, 16c, 258, 3bd, 49e, 4df, 18b, 269, 37e, 5ac, 8ef, 1ad, 23c, 46b, 579, 5bf, 139, 27d, 48c, 6ae\}$
We define permutations $\pi = (6\ 7\ 8)(9\ b\ a)(c\ d\ e)$ on X:
B_2, $\pi(B_2)$ and $\pi(\pi(B_2))$ are disjoint. So $T = \{B_2, \pi(B_2), \pi(\pi(B_2))\}$ is a 3-way 6-homogeneous $(15, 3, 2)$ Steiner trade of volume 30.

Let $Y = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, a, b, c, d, e, f, g\}$ and
$D = \{59e, 6cg, 7af, 8bd, 5bf, 6ad, 7ce, 89g, 19f, 2bg, 3cd, 4ae, 1ag, 2cf, 3be, 49d, 15d, 28e, 36f, 47g, 16e, 27d, 35g, 48f, 17b, 269, 38a, 45c, 18c, 25a, 379, 46b\}$
(In fact D is the block set of a Kirkman frame of type 4^4 with group set
$G = \{1234, 5678, 9abc, defg\}$ [7]). We define the following permutations on Y:
$\pi_3 = (1\ 2)(3\ 4)(5\ 6)(7\ 8)$
$\pi_4 = (1\ 3)(2\ 4)(5\ 7)(6\ 8)(9\ a)(b\ c)$
D, $\pi_3(D)$ and $\pi_4(D)$ are disjoint. So $T = \{D, \pi_3(D), \pi_4(D)\}$ is a 3-way 6-homogeneous $(16, 3, 2)$ Steiner trade of volume 32. □

Theorem 9. *There exists a 3-way 6-homogeneous $(v, 3, 2)$ Steiner trade of volume $2v$ for every $v \geq 13$, except possibly for $v \in \{17, 19, 20, 22, 23, 25\}$.*

Proof. By Remark 2, $v \geq 13$. We investigate three cases for v. For $v = 3\ell$, where $\ell \geq 6$, the result clearly follows by Theorem 1. For cases $v = 3\ell + 1 = 3(\ell - 4) + 13$ and $v = 3\ell + 2 = 3(\ell - 4) + 14$, where $\ell \geq 10$, we use Theorem 1 and Proposition 4.
For $v \in \{13, 14, 15, 16, 26, 28, 29\}$, by Proposition 4 and Lemma 1, there exists a 3-way 6-homogeneous $(v, 3, 2)$ Steiner trade of volume $2v$. □

Our results are summarized below:

Main Theorem. All 3-way d-homogeneous $(v, 3, 2)$ Steiner trades exist for

(I) $v = 3m$:

- (a) $d = 4$, $m \geq 3$, (by Theorem 7)
- (b) $d = 5$, $m \geq 4$ except possibly for $m = 6$, (by Theorem 8)
- (c) $7 \leq d \leq 13$, $m \geq d$, (by Theorem 1)
- (d) $d = 15$, $m \geq d$, (by Theorem 1)
- (e) $d \geq 4$ and $m \geq d^2$, (by Theorem 1)
- (f) $m \equiv 0 \pmod 5$ and $m \geq d$, except possibly for $m = 30$, (by Theorem 1)
- (g) $m \equiv 0 \pmod 7$ and $m \geq d$, except possibly for $m = 7$ (where $d = 4$) and $m = 42$. (by Theorem 1)

(II) $v = 6m + 1$:

$d = 3m$, $m \geq 2$. (by Theorem 2)

(III) $v = 6m + 3$:

$d = 3m + 1$, $m \geq 1$. (by Theorem 2)

(IV) All v:

(a) $d = 3$, $v \geq 8$ except for $v \in [10, 15] \cup [19, 23] \cup [28, 31] \cup [37, 39] \cup \{46, 47, 55\}$, (by Theorem 6)

(b) $d = 6$, $v \geq 13$ except possibly for $v \in \{17, 19, 20, 22, 23, 25\}$. (by Theorem 9)

References

[1] P. Adams, E. J. Billington, D. E. Bryant, and E. S. Mahmoodian. On the possible volumes of μ-way Latin trades. *aequationes mathematicae*, 63(3):303–320, 2002.

[2] B. Bagheri Gh., D. M. Donovan, and E. S. Mahmoodian. On the existence of 3-way k-homogeneous Latin trades. *Discrete Mathematics*, 312(24):3473–3481, 2012.

[3] H. Cao, L. Ji, and L. Zhu. Large sets of disjoint packings on 6k+5 points. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A*, 108(2): 169–183, 2004.

[4] N. J. Cavenagh, D. M. Donovan and E. Ş. Yazici. Minimal homogeneous Steiner $2 - (v, 3)$ trades. *Discrete Mathematics*, 308(5-6):741–752, 2008.

[5] S. Milici and G. Quattrocchi. On the intersection problem for three Steiner triple systems. *Ars Combinatoria*, 24A:175–194, 1987.

[6] S. Rashidi and N. Soltankhah. On the possible volume of three way trades. *Electronic Notes in Discrete Mathematics*, 43:5–13, 2013.

[7] D. R. Stinson. A survey of Kirkman triple systems and related designs. *Discrete Mathematics*, 92(1-3):371–393, 1991.